

COLLIDING FILAMENTS AND A MASSIVE DENSE CORE IN THE CYGNUS OB 7 MOLECULAR CLOUD

KAZUHITO DOBASHI¹, TOMOAKI MATSUMOTO², TOMOMI SHIMOIKURA¹,
HIRO SAITO³, KO AKISATO¹, KENJIRO OHASHI¹,
and

KEISUKE NAKAGOMI¹
¹ dobashi@u-gakugei.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

We report results of molecular line observations carried out toward a massive dense core in the Cyg OB 7 molecular cloud. The core has an extraordinarily large mass ($\sim 1.1 \times 10^4 M_\odot$) and size ($\sim 2 \times 5 \text{ pc}^2$), but there is no massive young star forming therein. We observed this core in various molecular lines such as $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}(J=1-0)$ using the 45m telescope at Nobeyama Radio Observatory. We find that the core has an elongated morphology consisting of several filaments and core-like structures. The filaments are massive ($10^2 - 10^3 M_\odot$), and they are apparently colliding against each other. Some candidates of YSOs are distributed around their intersection, suggesting that the collisions of the filaments may have influenced on their formation. To understand the formation and evolution of such colliding filaments, we performed numerical simulations using the adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) technique adopting the observed core parameters (e.g., the mass and size) as the initial conditions. Results indicate that the filaments are formed as seen in other earlier simulations for small cores in literature, but we could not reproduce the collisions of the filaments simply by assuming the large initial mass and size. We find that the collisions of the filaments occur only when there is a large velocity gradient in the initial core in a sense to compress it. We suggest that the observed core was actually compressed by an external effect, e.g., shocks of nearby supernova remnants including HB21 which has been suggested to be interacting with the Cyg OB 7 molecular cloud.

Subject headings: ISM: molecules–ISM:clouds–ISM: individual (Cyg OB 7 cloud) –stars: formation

1. INTRODUCTION

The Cyg OB 7 molecular cloud is a giant molecular cloud (GMC) located at a distance of 800 pc (Humphreys 1978) in the direction of the Cygnus region (e.g., Falgarone & Perault 1987). The cloud has an apparent size of $\sim 4^\circ \times 7^\circ$ centered at $\ell \sim 92^\circ$ and $b \sim 4^\circ$, and has a total molecular mass of $\sim 1 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ (Dobashi et al.

1994, 1996).

Figure 1(a) shows the entire extents of the cloud. There are two well-known star forming sites in the cloud. One is the region known as LDN 988 (Lynds 1962) where a number of pre-main sequence stars are forming (e.g., Herbig & Dahm 2006; Allen et al. 2008), and the other is a massive dense core known as the Northern Coal Sack (NCS) producing a massive Class 0 object (Bernard et al. 1999). Except for these two regions, star formation is much less active in this cloud compared to other GMCs with a similar mass (e.g., Orion A, Nagahama et al. 1998), and there is no extended HII regions associated with the cloud. The Cyg OB 7 molecular cloud is also

¹Department of Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Tokyo Gakugei University, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8501, Japan

²Department of Humanity and Environment, Hosei University, Fujimi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8160, Japan

³National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

characterized by its low temperature (~ 10 K) and a large turbulence ($\Delta V \sim 4$ km s $^{-1}$ in ^{13}CO , Dobashi et al. 1994), and has been regarded as a GMC in an early stage of cloud evolution prior to an active massive star formation.

In the all-sky visual (VIS) and near-infrared (NIR) extinction maps derived from the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS, Dobashi et al. 2005) and the 2 Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS, Dobashi 2011; Dobashi et al. 2013), we found a massive dense core in the northern part of the Cyg OB 7 molecular cloud. In the DSS-based extinction map, the core is cataloged as TGUH 541P1 by Dobashi et al. (2005) which corresponds to the eastern end of the dark nebula LDN 1004 (Lynds 1962). In the optically thinner 2MASS-based extinction map, it splits into several smaller condensations such as the one numbered No.2996 in the catalog compiled by Dobashi (2011). Hereafter, we shall refer to this core as L1004E in this paper.

In Figure 1(b), we show the location of L1004E in the A_{K_s} map produced by Dobashi (2011). As seen in the figure, the core is very large and is the densest in the entire Cyg OB 7 cloud with the maximum A_{K_s} of ~ 3 mag. Based on the A_{K_s} map, we estimate its total mass to be at least $\sim 5 \times 10^3 M_\odot$. In spite of its huge mass, L1004E is not accompanied by any HII regions or bright infrared sources representing massive young stellar objects (YSOs), indicating that L1004E is a core in an initial stage of massive star formation or cluster formation. L1004E should provide us a precious opportunity to investigate the initial conditions of such massive cores, because the initial conditions can be easily destroyed by the strong stellar wind and UV radiation from OB stars soon after they are formed in the cores.

The angular resolutions of the 2MASS- and DSS- based extinction maps ($\sim 3' - 6'$) that we used to find L1004E are not sufficient to resolve the structure of the core. We therefore carried out molecular line observations at a high angular resolution using the 45m telescope (HPBW $\simeq 15''$ at 115 GHz) at Nobeyama Radio Observatory (NRO), which should also provide us information on the velocity field of the core.

The purpose of the present paper is to report results of the observations with the 45m telescope. We observed the core in various molecular lines such as C^{18}O . The observational procedures are

described in Section 2. Based mainly on the C^{18}O data, we analyzed the spatial and velocity structure of the core, and we also searched for candidates of YSOs associated with the core using some infrared point source catalogs (PSCs) open to the public. We found that the core has a huge total mass of $\sim 1.1 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ and is consisting of a number of massive filaments and core-like structures with a mass of $10^2 - 10^3 M_\odot$, which appears similar to the filamentary structures recently evidenced in other molecular clouds (e.g., André et al. 2010). To our surprise, some of the filaments are apparently colliding against each other, and some candidates of YSOs are located around the intersections of the filaments as if they were induced by the collisions of the filaments. We present these observational results in Section 3. In order to understand how the colliding filaments were formed in the core, we performed numerical simulations of core evolution assuming a model core with an initial mass and size similar to those of L1004E. In section 4, we describe the method and results of the simulations, and discuss what initial conditions are needed for the formation of such colliding filaments which should play an important role for massive star formation and cluster formation in massive cores. Conclusions of this paper are summarized in Section 5.

2. OBSERVATIONS

Observations were carried out with the 45m telescope at NRO. We observed thirteen molecular lines in total, i.e., $^{12}\text{CO}(J = 1 - 0)$, $^{13}\text{CO}(J = 1 - 0)$, $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}(J = 1 - 0)$, $\text{CS}(J = 2 - 1)$, $\text{C}^{34}\text{S}(J = 2 - 1)$, $\text{HCO}^+(J = 1 - 0)$, $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+(J = 1 - 0)$, $\text{HC}_3\text{N}(J = 5 - 4)$, $\text{CCS}(J_N = 4_3 - 3_2)$, $\text{NH}_3(J, K = 1, 1)$, $\text{NH}_3(J, K = 2, 2)$, $\text{NH}_3(J, K = 3, 3)$, and $\text{NH}_3(J, K = 4, 4)$. The $^{13}\text{CO}(J = 1 - 0)$ emission line was observed for 4 days in 2010 January, and the other lines were observed for 22 days in the period between 2009 February and 2009 May.

Our observations can be divided into two modes. One is the mapping observations to reveal the entire molecular distributions of L1004E, and the other is one-point observations made only toward the protostellar candidate IRAS 21025+5221 found in the core.

The mapping observations were carried out with 6 molecular lines as summarized in Table

1. We used the 25 Beam Array Receiver System (BEARS) to observe the emission lines of CO and its isotopes. We also used an SIS receiver named S40 to observe the HC_3N and CCS lines at 45 GHz as well as a cooled HEMT receiver named H22 to observe the $\text{NH}_3(J, K) = (1, 1)$ line at 24 GHz. Spectrometers were auto-correlators (AC) covering a band-width of either 32 MHz or 16 MHz with 1024 channels with a frequency resolution of 38 kHz or 19 kHz, respectively. We mapped an area of $\sim 20' \times 30'$ around the core using the On-The-Fly (OTF) technique (Sawada et al. 2008), and calibrated the spectral data with the standard chopper-wheel method (Kutner & Ulich 1981). Reference positions (i.e., the emission-free OFF positions) were $(\alpha_{\text{J2000}}, \delta_{\text{J2000}}) = (20^{\text{h}}38^{\text{m}}33.9^{\text{s}}, 51^{\circ}47'02'')$, $(20^{\text{h}}49^{\text{m}}34.5^{\text{s}}, 51^{\circ}48'00'')$, $(20^{\text{h}}58^{\text{m}}37.0^{\text{s}}, 51^{\circ}36'59'')$, $(21^{\text{h}}04^{\text{m}}06.5^{\text{s}}, 52^{\circ}33'47'')$ for the ^{12}CO , C^{18}O , NH_3 , and the other emission lines, respectively. We used the reduction software package NOSTAR available at NRO to subtract a linear baseline from the raw data and to resample the spectral data onto $10''$ or $30''$ grid along the equatorial coordinates. We then applied a correction for the main beam efficiencies which vary in the range $\eta_{\text{mb}} = 31 - 84\%$ depending on the frequencies to the baseline-subtracted data in order to scale them to units of T_{mb} . Further corrections for the side-band ratio were applied for the data obtained by BEARS, because it is a double-side-band (DSB) receiver. Velocity channels are resampled onto 0.1 km s^{-1} or 0.2 km s^{-1} velocity grid, which resulted in a velocity resolution of $0.14 - 0.34 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Noise levels of the resulting data are $\Delta T_{\text{mb}} = 0.08 - 1.25 \text{ K}$ at these velocity resolutions as summarized in Table 1.

One-point observations toward IRAS 21025+5221 were made with molecular lines as summarized in Table 2. For these observations, we used waveguide-type dual-polarization sideband-separating SIS receivers called T100H/V (Nakajima et al. 2008) to observe CS , C^{34}S , HCO^+ , and H^{13}CO^+ lines, and also used the H22 receiver to observe four NH_3 lines of $(J, K) = (1, 1) - (4, 4)$ transitions. Spectrometers were acousto-optical spectrometers (AOs) with a bandwidth of 40 MHz and a frequency resolution of 37 kHz corresponding to a velocity resolution of $0.11 - 0.47 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The baseline removal was done by using the reduc-

tion package NEWSTAR. The data were scaled up to units of T_{mb} in the same way as for the mapping data.

The system noise temperatures T_{SYS} were in the range $140 - 430 \text{ K}$ depending on the receivers including the atmosphere. Pointing accuracy was better than $\sim 10''$ as was checked by observing the SiO maser T-Cep at 43 GHz every 2 hours during the observations.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Overall Molecular Distributions

Figure 2 shows the integrated intensity distributions of the observed emission line listed in Table 1. As seen in the figure, the C^{18}O map in panel (a) reveals the filamentary structure of the core. On the other hand, the ^{13}CO map in panel (b) shows rather flat distribution, because the line is heavily saturated. The ^{12}CO emission line (not shown) extends all over the mapped area, and it appears much flatter. The other molecular emission lines, i.e., HC_3N , CSS , and NH_3 in panels (c)–(e), show clumpy distributions, and they are concentrated especially around IRAS 21025+5221.

In Figure 3, we show the $12 \mu\text{m}$ image taken by the WISE satellite. It is noteworthy that the C^{18}O map in Figure 2(a) is very similar to the dust distribution revealed by WISE, indicating that the molecular line is a good tracer of the total molecular column density $N(\text{H}_2)$. In order to estimate the mass of L1004E, we therefore derived $N(\text{H}_2)$ at each observed position by analyzing the C^{18}O data using a standard method assuming the Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE, e.g., see Shimoikura et al. 2012). For this, we first estimated the excitation temperature of C^{18}O from the ^{12}CO spectra at each observed position assuming that the ^{12}CO emission line is optically very thick. We then calculated $\tau(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$ the optical depth of the C^{18}O emission line and its column density $N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$, and converted $N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$ to $N(\text{H}_2)$ using an empirical conversion relation found by Frerking et al. (1982). We summarize the method in the Appendix.

The analyses of the C^{18}O and ^{12}CO data infer that L1004E has a huge mass of $\sim 1.1 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ within the region mapped in C^{18}O (Figure 2(a)). This mass is much higher than other single dense cores found in low-mass star forming regions such

as Taurus ($1 - 80 M_{\odot}$, Onishi et al. 1998) or in massive star forming regions found in the vicinity of some HII regions ($10^2 - 10^3 M_{\odot}$, Tachihara et al. 2002; Saito et al. 2007; Shimoikura et al. 2013) suggesting that L1004E may comprise a number of distinct smaller cores. Actually, as shown in Section 3.4, L1004E is very likely to consist of several massive filaments having a mass of $10^2 - 10^3 M_{\odot}$.

It is also noteworthy that the $C^{18}O$ column density of L1004E is very high compared with cores in other star forming regions. In fact, we found that the $C^{18}O$ emission line which is often optically thin ($\tau < 1$) in molecular clouds has a high optical depth of $\tau = 2 - 3$ at the peak intensity positions in Figure 2(a). Figure 4 shows the frequency distributions of $N(C^{18}O)$ in L1004E compared with those of HLC 2 and LDN 1551 observed by the same NRO 45m telescope (the data of these regions are open to the public at the website of NRO, <http://www.nro.nao.ac.jp/~nro45mrt/results/data.html>). As seen in the figure, L1004E exhibits much higher $N(C^{18}O)$ than the others. On the basis of statistical studies of dense cores in Taurus, Onishi et al. (1998) showed that all of the cores with column density greater than $N(H_2) = 8 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ are accompanied by YSOs selected from IRAS sources, and they suggested that the cores start to form stars immediately as soon as the column density exceeds the value. This critical value of $N(H_2)$ corresponds to $N(C^{18}O) \sim 8 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (see Equation (A4) in the Appendix). As shown in the next subsection, there are only two IRAS sources as promising candidates of protostars in this massive core, suggesting that the core may be in a stage prior to an active star formation or may have just initiated forming stars.

L1004E is also characterized by its low temperature. Within the observed region, the excitation temperature derived from the ^{12}CO emission line varies in the range $8 \lesssim T_{\text{ex}} \lesssim 12 \text{ K}$ with a mean value of $\sim 9 \text{ K}$, which is lower than those in other GMCs with a similar size (e.g., $10 - 70 \text{ K}$, Nagahama et al. 1998), but close to those in smaller dark clouds such as the one in Taurus (e.g., $\sim 10 \text{ K}$, Onishi et al. 1998). In addition, there is a clear tendency that T_{ex} is lower in the central part of the core than in the outskirts by a few Kelvin. Similarly, the observed line width measured by applying a single Gaussian fitting to the $C^{18}O$ emission line varies in the range $1 \lesssim \Delta V(C^{18}O) \lesssim 3$

km s^{-1} with a mean value of $\sim 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the region surrounded by the lowest contour in Figure 2(a), and it tends to be smaller where the $C^{18}O$ intensity is higher, suggesting dissipation of turbulence in the central part of the core.

We summarize the global properties of L1004E found through the above ^{12}CO and $C^{18}O$ analyses in Table 3.

Finally, we show the velocity distributions of molecular gas in a series of channel maps in Figures 5–7. Molecular emission lines, especially the ^{12}CO line (not shown), spread over a wide velocity range of $-20 \lesssim V_{\text{LSR}} \lesssim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but the other emission lines concentrate in a rather limited range around $V_{\text{LSR}} \simeq -2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see Figure 5 displaying the ^{13}CO distributions). Figures 6 and 7 show channel maps of ^{13}CO and $C^{18}O$, respectively, produced at a higher velocity resolution of 0.5 km s^{-1} . These figures show that denser parts of the core exhibits an elongated structure, and the core apparently consist of a number of filaments having slightly different velocities. The filaments are clearer in the $C^{18}O$ channel maps in Figure 7. We will attempt to identify the individual filaments and will further analyze their stability and interactions in Section 3.4.

3.2. Young Stellar Objects

In order to search for YSOs in the observed region, we selected candidates of YSOs in the IRAS PSC using the criteria suggested by Onishi et al. (1998) for a search of YSOs in the Taurus cloud complex: We selected sources detected at least 3 bands including $25 \mu\text{m}$ and $60 \mu\text{m}$ satisfying the conditions $\log(F_{12}/F_{25}) < 0.0$ and $\log(F_{25}/F_{60}) < 0.3$ where F_{12} means a flux density at $12 \mu\text{m}$, and so on. As a result, we found only 2 IRAS sources in the observed region. They are IRAS 21005 + 5217 and 21025 + 5221 whose properties are listed in Table 4. Both of the IRAS sources have a cold FIR spectra typical of YSOs (e.g., Fukui et al. 1989), having a total far-infrared (FIR) luminosity $L_{\text{IRAS}} \simeq 22$ and $\sim 36 L_{\odot}$. Note that these are the bolometric luminosities detected only in the four IRAS bands (including the correction for wavelengths longer than $100 \mu\text{m}$, Myers et al. 1987), and the flux in the wavelengths shorter than $12 \mu\text{m}$ is not included.

We further searched for YSOs in the WISE PSC using the selection criteria suggested by Koenig et al. (2012), which is to select candidates of Class I and Class II sources (Lada 1987; Greene et al. 1994) from the WISE PSC. The criteria require detection in the WISE 3.4 μm , 4.6 μm , and 12 μm bands with rather complex limitations in magnitudes and colors in the 3 bands to exclude non-YSOs objects such as galaxies, active galactic nuclei (AGNs), unresolved knots of shock emission due to outflows, and so on (for details, see the Appendix of Koenig et al. 2012). As a result, we found a score of candidates for Class I or Class II sources in the observed region, but they are mostly located outside of the denser parts of the core, suggesting that many of them are the sources either in the foreground or background, not having physical association with the core.

We should note, however, that there may be more faint YSOs in the WISE PSC, which were excluded by the tight selection criteria of Koenig et al. (2012). As they state in their paper, it is difficult in general to establish definite criteria to select YSOs perfectly. Their criteria have a strong restriction especially on the magnitudes of the sources in order to exclude AGNs, i.e., the apparent magnitudes at 3.4 μm and 4.6 μm have to be brighter than 14.0 and 13.5 mag, respectively (see their Section A.1). If we disregard these restrictions to exclude AGNs, we would find more candidates of faint YSOs. Actually, we found a score of such faint sources lying along the filaments of the core, which are likely to be YSOs forming therein. In the original WISE PSC, however, it seems that there are a certain fraction of false detections probably caused by the algorithm to extract point sources from the WISE images. In order to avoid such false detections, we checked by eyes the locations of the point sources on the WISE images, and selected only those having an apparent counterpart at least in one of the four band images of WISE (i.e., 3.4, 4.6, 12, and 22 μm). As a result, we found 83 candidates of YSOs (i.e., 50 Class I sources and 33 Class II sources) within the area mapped in C¹⁸O including the above faint sources without the restrictions to exclude AGNs. The 2 IRAS sources are also included in these numbers because they have a counterpart in the selected WISE sources. We show locations of the these sources in Figure 8, and we shall

regard them as YSOs forming in L1004E.

Here we attempt to estimate the star formation efficiency (SFE) of L1004E. A certain fraction of the 83 sources should be AGNs or YSOs unrelated to the core, but for simplicity, we shall assume that all of them are YSOs forming in the core. We also assume that their average mass is $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$ which is the mean value of stars following the Salpeter’s mass function ($dN/dm \propto m^{-2.35}$, Salpeter 1955) over the range 0.4 – 10 $M_{\odot}$. These assumptions yield an estimate of the SFE of only $\lesssim 1\%$, because the total molecular mass of the core is $1.1 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ (see Table 3). This value of SFE is much lower compared with other massive cores forming clusters (e.g., SFE $\sim 30\%$ on the average, Shimoikura et al. 2013). Note that this is the maximum estimate for the SFE, because a certain fraction of the WISE sources should be unrelated to L1004E. In an extreme case, if we take that only the 2 IRAS sources and several bright WISE sources which are located where the C¹⁸O emission is strong are promising YSOs forming in L1004E, a very low SFE of only $\sim 0.1\%$ would be inferred, which should be the minimum estimate of the SFE in L1004E.

We found that the 2 IRAS sources selected as candidates of YSOs have a counterpart not only in the WISE PSC but also in the 2MASS PSC, as summarized in Table 5. IRAS 21025 + 5221 is probably a younger YSO than IRAS 21005 + 5217, because it is not detected in the *J* and *H* bands of 2MASS, while IRAS 21005 + 5217 is not detected in the IRAS 100 μm band. In order to better access the stellar properties of these sources such as the ages, masses, and luminosities, we employed a recent stellar model developed by Robitaille et al. (Robitaille et al. 2007; Robitaille 2008). The model was first developed by Robitaille et al. (2006), and it comprises several parameters for the central star, circumstellar disk, and envelope, to calculate the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) for a wide range of wavelengths that can be compared with the observations. Tools for the model fitting are available on their website (<http://caravan.astro.wisc.edu/>) which provide us 10,000 sets of the model parameters to fit observational data sorted according to the resulting χ^2 . We utilized their tools on the web to fit the SEDs of the 2 IRAS sources using the observed parameters listed in Tables 4 and

5. Resulting SEDs of the model best fitting the data are shown in Figure 9, and some of the best model parameters with the minimum χ^2 are summarized in Table 6. The A_V values in the first column of the table are the fitted total extinction along the line-of-sight to the stellar envelope, which is consistent with what we would expect from the observed column density of $C^{18}O$. The other parameters (age, mass, and luminosity) are the best fitting parameters for the central star. Looking into the best 10 sets of the model parameters with the smallest χ^2 , these parameters summarized in the table seem to be well determined with small variations in the case of IRAS 21005+5217, while the parameters are rather ambiguous in the case of IRAS 21025 + 5221 varying in the range $1 \times 10^3 - 8 \times 10^4$ yr, $0.2 - 5 M_\odot$, and $12 - 65 L_\odot$, which is mostly due to the lack of the data points in the J and H bands (there are only the upper limits for these data points). In any case, IRAS 21025 + 5221 is obviously younger than the other source.

3.3. Dense Gas Around IRAS 21025+5221

We found that IRAS 21025+5221 is accompanied by a small and well-defined molecular condensation which should be the direct parental core of the IRAS source, while the other IRAS source is not accompanied by such a very evident condensation. Figure 10 displays the close up view of the condensation traced by some molecular emission lines, and Figure 11 shows spectra of various molecular lines observed toward the IRAS source.

As seen in Figure 10, the condensation is evident especially in HC_3N , CCS , and NH_3 . Ratio of the $NH_3(J, K) = (1, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$ emission lines infers the gas temperature of the condensation of ~ 12 K (e.g., Ho & Townes 1983; Danby et al. 1988), which is close to the excitation temperature $T_{ex} \simeq 10$ K derived from the ^{12}CO emission line.

As shown in Section 3.4, we estimate the total mass, radius, and mean molecule density of the condensation to be $M_{LTE} \simeq 170 M_\odot$, $R \simeq 0.33$ pc, and $n(H_2) \simeq 1.9 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (see No.1 in Table 7), respectively, based on the $C^{18}O$ data in the same way as described in Section 3.1. Note that the condensation is accompanied by IRAS 21025 + 5221 and many other faint YSOs selected from the

WISE PSC, indicating that the condensation is probably a young dense core that has just initiated to form a star cluster.

The idea that the condensation is very young can be supported also by the CCS and HC_3N data. It is known that these molecules are abundant in an early stage of chemical evolution of dense cores ($\sim 10^5$ yr), and they rapidly disappear along with the core evolution ($\gtrsim 10^6$ yr, Suzuki et al. 1992; Hirota et al. 2009). Based on the CCS and HC_3N spectra shown in Figure 11, we calculated the fractional abundances of these molecules, i.e., $f(X) = N(X)/N(H_2)$ where $X = CCS$ or HC_3N , using the same method as Shimoikura et al. (2012, see their Section 3.2), and we found $\log f(CCS) = -9.09$ and $\log f(HC_3N) = -9.45$. We compare the results with those measured in dense cores in other star forming regions as well as with a model calculation performed by Suzuki et al. (1992) in Figure 12 which is quoted from Figure 9 of Shimoikura et al. (2012) who studied starless cores in the Polaris cirrus (see their Table 9 for data points of the other cores). There is an apparent tendency in the figure that data points for dense cores not associated with YSOs (represented by the open circles) are located in the upper-right side of the diagram having higher $f(CCS)$ and $f(HC_3N)$, while those associated with YSOs (filled circles) are more widely distributed in rather bottom-left side. It is interesting to note that the condensation associated with IRAS 21025 + 5221 (the open square labeled L1004E) is located between the two distributions, suggesting that the condensation is a young core which has just started star formation.

Finally, we should note that there are some noticeable features in a series of spectra shown in Figure 11. Most of the spectra have a peak radial velocity of $V_{LSR} \sim -2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ which should represent the systemic velocity of gas around IRAS 21025+5221. However, the HCO^+ and CS emission lines exhibit a double-peaked profile with a greater blue-shifted velocity component. Such a profile is often seen around protostars in optically thick emission lines like HCO^+ , and is considered as a sign of collapsing motion of dense cores (e.g., Zhou et al. 1993). It is also noteworthy that the ^{12}CO emission exhibits a very broad line width extending over the velocity range $-20 < V_{LSR} < 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This could be due to a molecular out-

flow possibly associated with IRAS 21025+5221, although it is difficult to confirm its red and blue shifted wings because the ^{12}CO line around the IRAS source is heavily contaminated by the emission from the diffuse ambient gas over a wide velocity range (especially at $-20 < V_{\text{LSR}} < -10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The wing-like features are also seen in the spectra of HCO^+ and CS which should be more free from such contamination, but we have only limited data for these lines. Observations of these molecular lines at a higher angular resolution using interferometers such as SMA and BIMA would be needed to confirm and resolve the outflow as well as the collapsing motion of the condensation.

3.4. Giant Filaments

3.4.1. Identification of the Filaments and Their Gravitational Stability

As seen in the C^{18}O total intensity map in Figure 2(a), L1004E has an elongated morphology consisting of several filaments and core-like structures. In order to characterize the filaments, we attempted to identify the individual filaments based on the C^{18}O data. We first tried to find a systematic way to define the filaments, but we found that it is very difficult to find a numerical definition to separate the filaments reliably. After some trials, we finally decided to pick up relatively large filaments by eye inspection in an iso-temperature map of C^{18}O in 3 dimension (Figure 13). We then determined the velocity range where the identified filaments are detected, and produced a C^{18}O intensity map integrated over the velocity range for each of the filaments to measure their physical properties such as the mass, size, and line width. Although our method to define the filaments is tentative, we will analyze the filaments identified in this manner, because our main purpose is not to compile a complete list of the filaments but to investigate their typical properties, gravitational stabilities, and velocity structures.

In total, we picked up 12 filaments as labeled in Figure 13. The condensation associated with IRAS 21025 + 5221 shown in Section 3.3 corresponds to the one labeled No.1. Some of the filaments including the condensation have a round shape, and it may be more appropriate to call them “cores” or “subcores” rather than “filaments”. In order to see how much the filaments

are round or elongated, we fitted the C^{18}O intensity distribution of the individual filaments by an elliptic 2 dimensional Gaussian function, and derived their major and minor radii (R_{maj} and R_{min}) to determine their ellipticities $\alpha (= R_{\text{min}}/R_{\text{maj}})$ which can be a measure of their elongated shapes (e.g., $\alpha = 1$ is for a spherical shape). In this subsection, we call filaments with $\alpha \leq 0.5$ “filaments” and call the others with $\alpha > 0.5$ “subcores”. Four of the 12 filaments we identified (Nos. 1, 2, 8, and 9 in Figure 13) can be classified to subcores.

Other than the ellipticities, we measured some properties of the filaments and subcores which are summarized in Table 7. In the table, the parameter V_{range} is the velocity range that we used to define the filaments and subcores, and α_{J2000} and δ_{J2000} are their peak positions in the C^{18}O intensity maps integrated over V_{range} . $N(\text{H}_2)$ and ΔV are the molecular column density and the line width (defined at FWHM) measured at the peak positions, respectively, and M_{LTE} is the mass derived from the C^{18}O intensity in the same way as described in Section 3.1. We defined the surface area of the filaments and subcores S at the half maximum of the peak C^{18}O intensity integrated over V_{range} , and defined their radii as $R = \sqrt{S/\pi}$. We also derived C^{18}O spectra averaged over S , and fitted them by Gaussian functions to measure the mean line width $\Delta\bar{V}$. In order to check the gravitational stability of the filaments and subcores, we also calculated their Virial mass in a standard way (e.g., Kawamura et al. 1998) as

$$M_{\text{vir}} = 209 \left(\frac{R}{\text{pc}} \right) \left(\frac{\Delta\bar{V}}{\text{km s}^{-1}} \right)^2 M_{\odot} . \quad (1)$$

As summarized in the table, we found that the identified filaments and subcores have a mass, line width, and column density of $M_{\text{LTE}} = 107 - 793 M_{\odot}$, $\Delta\bar{V} = 1.0 - 2.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and $N(\text{H}_2) = 1.9 - 4.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with a mean value of $341 M_{\odot}$, 1.7 km s^{-1} , $2.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, respectively. These quantities are comparable to those of cores forming massive stars (e.g., Tachihara et al. 2002; Saito et al. 2007) or star clusters (e.g., Higuchi et al. 2010; Shimoikura et al. 2013).

We also found that the masses of the filaments and subcores M_{LTE} are roughly consistent with M_{vir} as shown in Figure 14(a), indicating that they are likely to be in the Virial equilibrium. However, dispersion in the M_{vir} vs. M_{LTE} diagram is large,

probably because M_{vir} in Equation (1) is for an ideal sphere while many of the filaments and subcores have an elongated shape. Actually, the difference between M_{LTE} and M_{vir} tends to be larger for the filaments and subcores with lower ellipticities, e.g., M_{LTE} is a few times higher than M_{vir} for many of the filaments with $\alpha \leq 0.5$.

In order to better investigate the gravitational stability of highly elongated filaments, we employed a simple model of isothermal cylindrical gas with infinite length (e.g., Stodólkiewicz 1963; Ostriker 1964; Inutsuka & Miyama 1992). The cylindrical gas can be gravitationally stable when the mass density ρ as a function of radius r from its axis follows the equation

$$\rho(r) = \rho_c \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{H_0} \right)^2 \right]^{-2} \quad (2)$$

where H_0 is an effective radius written as

$$H_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi G \rho_c}} c_s, \quad (3)$$

and G , c_s , and ρ_c are the gravitational constant, the speed of sound, and the density at $r = 0$, respectively. Integration of Equation (2) from $r = 0$ to infinity yields M_{cr} the mass per unit length of the gravitationally stable filaments, which can be expressed as

$$M_{\text{cr}} = \pi H_0^2 \rho_c = \frac{2c_s^2}{G}. \quad (4)$$

If we assume that c_s is equal to the observed line width ΔV because the internal motion of the observed filaments is apparently dominated by turbulence rather than thermal motion, M_{cr} can be written in the following useful form,

$$M_{\text{cr}} = 465 \left(\frac{\Delta V}{\text{km s}^{-1}} \right)^2 M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

Using the above equation, we calculated M_{cr} of the filaments by inserting ΔV in Table 7, assuming that we are observing the filaments orthogonally to their elongation. We also calculated the observed gas mass per unit length of the filaments as M_{LTE}/L where L is the lengths of the filaments estimated as $L = 2R/\sqrt{\alpha}$, which can be compared with M_{cr} . We summarize these values in the last columns of Table 7, and compare M_{cr}

and M_{LTE}/L in Figure 14(b). As seen in the figure, M_{cr} and M_{LTE}/L do not match for the subcores with high ellipticities ($\alpha > 0.5$ shown by open circles), because M_{cr} is almost meaningless for the subcores. About half of the elongated filaments ($\alpha \leq 0.5$, filled circles) have M_{LTE}/L close to M_{cr} , indicating that they can be gravitationally stable. The other half of the elongated filaments (such as No.12) have M_{cr} a few times higher than M_{LTE}/L , suggesting that they might be gravitationally unbound being dispersed into the interstellar medium.

However, we should note that the C^{18}O spectra of such filaments with $M_{\text{cr}} > M_{\text{LTE}}/L$ are often contaminated by faint emission from other filaments at different velocities. It is difficult to separate them reliably to measure ΔV precisely at the present sensitivity of our C^{18}O data. Such contamination can easily cause an overestimation of M_{cr} by a factor of a few. In fact, the difference between M_{cr} and M_{LTE}/L is larger for filaments with larger ΔV . More sensitive C^{18}O dataset with much better signal-to-noise ratio is needed to verify the gravitational stability of the filaments, especially for those appearing gravitationally unbound.

3.4.2. Velocity Distributions and Collisions of the Filaments

As can be seen in the C^{18}O channel map in Figure 7, the filaments and subcores often have slightly different radial velocities. It is noteworthy that some of them show an apparent anti-correlation to each other in the channel map, i.e., ridge of a filament corresponds to valley or hole of another filament. A typical example of the anti-correlations is shown in Figure 15. Filaments labeled 4 and 5 (Table 7) in panel (b) of the figure correspond to a hole between subcore 2 and filament 6 in panel (a). Similarly, another filament labeled 7 in panel (b) corresponds to a hole in fainter C^{18}O intensity distribution in panel (a). We suggest that some of these anti-correlations represent collisions between the filaments and subcores.

In order to find an evidence for such collisions, we made position-velocity (PV) diagrams along the cuts labeled A–B, C–D, and E–F in panel (a) of Figure 15. Resulting diagrams are displayed in panels (c)–(e) of the figure. As seen in panel (c), the filaments 4 and 5 have a similar velocity,

and the filament 6 is located in between having slightly shifted peak velocity by $\sim 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and the three filaments appear physically connected to each other with fainter C^{18}O emission. Another PV diagram in panel (d) for the cut C–D shows a similar feature. These features seen in the PV diagrams can be naturally accounted for if we assume that the filaments 4 and 5 used to be one continuous filament and the subcore 2 and filament 6 used to be another continuous filament, and they collided and passed through each other. The filaments 4 and 5 in panel (b) are shifted by $\sim 0.5'$ ($= 0.12 \text{ pc}$) from the corresponding hole in panel (a). If we take that the relative velocity of the filaments to be $0.5\text{--}1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ as seen in panels (c) and (d), their collision may have occurred $0.1\text{--}0.2 \text{ Myr}$ ago.

As described in Section 4, we have performed numerical simulations to investigate the formation and evolution of the filaments in a very massive core having the same total mass as L1004E ($\sim 1 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$). The simulations can reproduce filaments inside of the model core, and some of them collide against each other to form stars. It is noteworthy that PV diagrams taken around such colliding filaments in the simulations (e.g., see Figure 19(c)) often appear very similar to those actually observed as displayed in Figure 15(c) and (d), strongly suggesting that the anti-correlations seen in the C^{18}O channel map (Figure 7) represent collisions of the filaments.

In the case of the anti-correlation seen around the filament 7 in Figure 15 (a) and (b), however, there is no abrupt jump in velocity in the PV diagram (see panel (c)). Although such a PV map can also be seen in our simulations for a filament drifting in the shear or for distinct filaments colliding with a small relative velocity, it is difficult to judge whether the anti-correlations in the channel maps are due to collisions of the filaments or merely trace velocity gradients along a single filament without collisions.

We will further investigate the velocity structure of the filaments and subcores around the 2 IRAS sources. As shown in Section 3.3, IRAS 21025 + 5221 is a promising candidate of YSOs forming in subcore 1. There are ~ 20 WISE sources in the vicinity (Figure 10), suggesting that the subcore has just started to form a small star cluster. A careful inspection of the C^{18}O data and

the WISE $12 \mu\text{m}$ image indicates that the subcore is a part of a small filament extending to the north-east direction. We delineate its outline by the white broken line in Figure 16(a) (labeled 1' in the circle). In addition, the small filament is crossed by another filament which is an extension of filament 3 in the north-west of the IRAS source. As can be seen in the channel maps and the PV diagrams shown in panels (b)–(e) of Figure 16, these filaments (1' and 3) have different velocities that can be divided at $V_{\text{LSR}} \simeq -1.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and subcore 1 is located at their intersection where the 2 velocity components are merged. These pictures infer that the small filament 1' was collided by filament 3 in the past, and they were merged at the intersection to form subcore 1. We suggest that the collision induced the formation of the subcore and then the small star cluster.

In the case of the other IRAS source (21005+5217), filaments showing such apparent collisions are difficult to identify. Several YSOs including the IRAS source are located between filaments 7 and 12 as shown in Figure 17. Filament 7 has a branch labeled 7' in the figure which has the same radial velocity as the main body of the filament and is extending to the west close to the boundary of filament 12. As seen in panels (e) of the figure, the branch and filament 12 have slightly different radial velocities by $\sim 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and their interface exhibits rather complex velocity field, which might reflect the interaction between the branch of filament 7 and filament 12. However, it is difficult to confirm the possible interaction and its influence on the formation of YSOs only with the present dataset.

To summarize, subcore 1 is very likely to be collided by a part of filament 3, which may have influenced on the formation of IRAS 21025 + 5221 and the other ~ 20 YSOs. In the case of IRAS 21005+5217, there are 2 filaments (7 and 12) showing complex velocity field in the vicinity, though it is difficult to confirm their possible collision and its influence on star formation.

A clear evidence of collisions between molecular clouds was first found in Sgr B (Hasegawa et al. 1994; Sato et al. 2000), and since then on, similar collisions as well as star formation induced by the collisions have been evidenced in some star forming regions (e.g., Torii et al. 2011; Nakamura et al. 2014). However, these are the

collisions between clouds or clumps on much larger scales. Note that what we suggest in this paper is the collisions between filaments inside of a single massive dense core, which may be a direct trigger of formation of massive stars or star clusters. We will discuss the origin of the colliding filaments in Section 4.

4. DISCUSSION

To summarize the results of our observations, L1004E has a huge mass of $\sim 1.1 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ and it consists of a number of filaments having a mass of $10^2 - 10^3 M_\odot$. Some of the filaments are apparently colliding against each other, and it is likely that some YSOs are forming around the regions where the filaments are colliding (e.g., around IRAS 22025+5221 in Figure 16).

It is probably natural to assume that such collisions induce star formation in the filaments. Actually, according to recent numerical simulations (Kitsionas & Whitworth 2007), collisions of spherical clumps can yield high SFEs of 10–30 % which is a typical value of IR clusters (Lada & Lada 2003), but head-on collisions are needed for the high SFEs. Note that collisions of filaments can be partially regarded as equivalent to the head-on collisions of spherical clumps, and formation and collisions of giant filaments in a massive core like L1004E may play a key role for cluster formation.

Here is a problem, however, to understand the collisions of the filaments. There have been a number of numerical simulations on the evolution of dense cores (e.g., Nakamura & Li 2005; Machida et al. 2006; Matsumoto & Hanawa 2011). In general, the simulations show that filaments can form easily in the cores, but they scarcely collide against each other. The core studied here might have extraordinary parameters (e.g., too high mass or density), or there might be an unknown mechanism to cause their collisions, which have not been taken into account in the earlier simulations.

In order to find what physical conditions are needed for the collisions of filaments, we performed self-gravitational hydrodynamics simulations using the Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR) technique developed by Matsumoto (2007). For the initial conditions, we assumed a uniform core with a huge mass ($1 \times 10^4 M_\odot$) and size ($5 \times 5 \times 5 \text{ pc}^3$)

corresponding to an average density of $n_0(\text{H}_2) \simeq 1.3 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, which are similar to those found in L1004E. Turbulence typically of an order of Mach 10 ($\sim 1.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) is imposed in the initial core (see for detail Matsumoto & Hanawa 2011). The initial velocity field is incompressible with a power spectrum of $P(k) \propto k^{-4}$, generated following Dubinski et al. (1995), where k is the wavenumber. This power spectrum results in a velocity dispersion of $\sigma(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{1/2}$, in agreement with the Larson scaling relations (Larson 1981). We calculated decaying turbulence with the self-gravity, and we did not consider a driving force of turbulence during the evolution (c.f., Federrath et al. 2010). The gas was assumed to be isothermal with a temperature of 10 K. Evolution of the core was followed from $t = 0 \text{ Myr}$ to $\sim 1 \text{ Myr}$, and we observed the formation and evolution of the resulting filaments in 2-dimensional velocity channel maps and 3-dimensional iso-density maps which are equivalent to those in Figures 7 and 13.

Details of the simulations will be presented in a subsequent publication (Matsumoto et al. 2014, in preparation). In this paper, we describe a summary as in the following points (1)–(3), and show some snapshots of the evolution of the column densities observed from two different directions (X and Y) at some different epochs in Figure 18(a)–(f):

- (1) Filaments are formed in the core at $t \simeq 0.2 \text{ Myr}$, and stars are formed in the filaments spontaneously at $t \simeq 0.6 \text{ Myr}$. In total, 209 stars are formed at the end of the simulations ($t \simeq 1 \text{ Myr}$).
- (2) Apparent collisions of the filaments are not observed throughout the calculation time.
- (3) In the velocity channel maps, we sometimes observe a hole and bump showing anti-correlations at different velocities, which appears similar to those seen in Figures 7 and 15(a)–(b). The anti-correlations observed in the simulations, however, represent relatively large-scale transient structures drifting in the shear, but they are not well-defined filaments forming stars.

We repeated the simulations for several sets of different initial parameters, but the results are essentially the same as described in the above. The holes and bumps on a rather large scale described in the point (3) may account for a part of the observations, but we could not reproduce the well-defined colliding filaments forming stars by the

above simulations, and we concluded that simple increment of mass and size of the initial core does not result in collisions of the filaments. An additional condition is apparently needed to account for the collisions.

After some trials, we finally found that the filaments can collide when there is a large velocity gradient across the initial core in a sense to compress it. In other words, we have to force the filaments to collide by giving the velocity gradient. An example of the simulations incorporating the velocity gradient can be summarized as in the following point (4):

(4) If we assume a velocity gradient of $dV/dX \simeq 2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-1}$ in one direction across the initial core, which is provided by a sinusoidal flow with an amplitude of Mach 10^1 , the filaments are formed at $t \simeq 0.2 \text{ Myr}$ in the same way as described in the point (1), and some of them start to collide against each other (or cross each other) to form stars at $t \simeq 0.4 \text{ Myr}$. Stars are often formed at the intersections of the filaments, but they are also formed spontaneously along the ridge of dense filaments without collisions. Roughly, $25 \pm 5 \%$ of the stars are formed directly by collisions. In total, 479 stars are formed at the end of the simulation, which is ~ 2.5 times larger than in the case of no initial velocity gradient.

Some snapshots of the above simulations are displayed in Figure 18(g)–(l). Characteristic features observed in the C^{18}O data, i.e., the anti-correlations in the channel maps and the velocity jumps in the PV diagrams (Figures 15–16), are often seen in the simulated data. In Figure 19, we demonstrate an example of such features seen in the simulations. Panel (a) of the figure displays the column density distributions in different velocity ranges (colored in red and blue) exhibiting anti-correlations at some places. As shown in panel (b), the first star observed in the simulation (indicated by the star symbol) was formed in one of the blue filaments $\sim 0.1 \text{ Myr}$ after another red filament (indicated by the ellipse with broken line)

collided and passed through it. We can see a clear velocity jump in the PV diagram in panel (c) measured along the filaments. Panels (d) and (e) display the column density distributions in the two different velocity ranges in panel (a) separately, but the data are smoothed to a lower resolution ($0.1 \text{ pc} \simeq 25''$ at 800 pc) similar to that of the C^{18}O data. Some noticeable anti-correlations including the one around the first star mentioned in the above are indicated by boxes, and they appear similar to those seen in the C^{18}O data in Figure 15.

It is noteworthy that some elongated condensations in panels (d) and (e) of Figure 19 which would be regarded as one filament actually consist of a bunch of thinner filaments that can be seen at a higher spatial resolution ($\sim 0.02 \text{ pc}$) in panel (a). Filaments observed in the C^{18}O data may probably have similar substructures which would be resolved by interferometer observations with higher angular resolutions of a few arcsec. Actually, such thin filaments were recently evidenced in the Taurus region by Hacar et al. (2013) who found bundles of small and gravitationally stable filaments with a typical length of $\sim 0.5 \text{ pc}$, which may correspond to the thin filaments observed in our simulations.

As we can see in Figure 18(k), the point of the simulations is that the velocity gradient generates a layer of high density gas containing a number of filaments in the middle of the initial core. In such a layer, star formation should occur more frequently, not only because the free-fall time should be shorter due to the gas compression, but also because the collision rate of the filaments should be higher. Though it is not easy to separate clearly the contributions of the two effects to star formation, roughly $25 \pm 5 \%$ of the stars are formed directly by collisions of the filaments. This is consistent with the fact that there are 83 candidates of YSOs selected in L1004E (see Section 3.2) and ~ 20 of them are located where collisions of the filaments are inferred (Figures 16 and 17).

In the above simulations, the layer has a thickness of $\sim 0.5 - 1 \text{ pc}$ and its edge-on view appears similar to the C^{18}O intensity map in Figure 2(a). We suggest that this is the case for L1004E. To be more precise, L1004E may not be a complete edge-on view of the layer but it may be an oblique view. In fact, the ^{13}CO channel

¹In addition to the turbulence, we imposed a core-scale sinusoidal flow of $V(X) = \mathcal{M}c_s \sin(2\pi \frac{X}{5 \text{ pc}})$ in the X -direction on the initial core for the range $-\frac{5}{2} \leq X \leq \frac{5}{2} \text{ pc}$ where $\mathcal{M}(= 10)$ and $c_s(= 0.19 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ are the Mach number of the flow and the sound velocity, respectively. This flow provides the maximum velocity gradient $dV/dX \simeq 2.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-1}$ at $X = 0 \text{ pc}$.

maps (Figure 6) shows that there is a velocity gradient of $dV/dX \simeq 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{pc}^{-1}$ in the direction orthogonal to the elongation of L1004E (i.e., from the south-east to north-west, see panels for $-4 < V_{\text{LSR}} < 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the figure).

For now, it is not yet very clear how large initial velocity gradient is needed to cause the collisions of the resultant filaments, but it should be greater than that necessary to make the crossing time τ_{cross} shorter than the free fall time $\tau_{ff} = 1.05 \left(\frac{n}{10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1/2} \text{ Myr}$ of the initial core. In the case of L1004E, $\tau_{ff} \sim 1 \text{ Myr}$ for the average density $n(\text{H}_2) \simeq 1.3 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and $\tau_{\text{cross}} \sim 0.5 \text{ Myr}$ if we take τ_{cross} is the reciprocal of the observed velocity gradient $dV/dX (\simeq 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{pc}^{-1})$.

It is not clear either how the initial velocity gradients influence on the final SFEs of the cores, because we have results only for the two cases with ($dV/dX \simeq 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{pc}^{-1}$) and without ($dV/dX = 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{pc}^{-1}$) the velocity gradients at the moment. We would expect higher SFEs for larger velocity gradients because the initial cores can be compressed more sufficiently, but it should be clarified by additional simulations.

The velocity gradient necessary for collisions of the resultant filaments can be caused by an external effect, e.g., shock fronts of SNRs or HII regions to compress the initial core. Actually, there have been a number of studies for such molecular clouds influenced by SNRs (e.g., Tatematsu et al. 1987, 1990; Moriguchi et al. 2001) or HII regions (e.g., Dobashi et al. 2001; Toujima et al. 2011; Shimoikura et al. 2013; Chibueze et al. 2013). It is noteworthy that the Cyg OB7 molecular cloud has been suggested to be interacting with the nearby SNR HB21 (cataloged as G89.0+4.7 by Green 1998) by Tatematsu et al. (1990). In addition, there are some other SNRs (i.e., DA530, DA551, and 3C434.1) in the vicinity of the Cyg OB7 cloud (Dobashi et al. 1994, see their Figure 11). Although distances to these SNRs have not been determined well (e.g., Mavromatakis et al. 2007), it is very possible that they have influenced on the initial velocity fields of L1004E. Other than the influence of the SNRs and HII regions, stellar winds from nearby OB stars can also provide a similar effect on the velocity field of the initial cores. Note that there have been found several young OB stars located 5 – 10 pc away from

L1004E (Reipurth & Schneider 2008).

In Figure 20, we summarize the suggested scenario for the formation of the colliding filaments. The collision of the filaments in massive cloud cores may be essential for cluster formation. The ubiquitousness of the scenario, however, has to be confirmed especially by observing other massive star forming regions or cluster forming regions such as M17 and Ori KL, because, at the moment, L1004E is probably only the core where such collisions of giant filaments are found.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have carried out millimeter-wave observations of a massive dense core L1004E in the Cyg OB 7 molecular cloud in various molecular lines such as ^{12}CO , ^{13}CO , C^{18}O , CCS, HC_3N , and NH_3 , using the 45m telescope at the Nobeyama Radio Observatory. Main findings of this paper are summarized below.

(1) The molecular observations revealed the total extent and velocity structures of L1004E. Based on the C^{18}O data, we find that the core has a huge mass and size of $1.1 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ and $\sim 5 \times 2 \text{ pc}^2$, respectively. The core is also characterized by the cold temperature $\sim 10 \text{ K}$ as measured in the ^{12}CO and NH_3 molecular lines. The maximum column density observed is $N(\text{H}_2) \simeq 5 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at the peak position of the C^{18}O intensity map. Turbulent motion measured from the line width varies in the range $\Delta V(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}) \simeq 1 - 3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ over the core.

(2) We searched for candidates of YSOs in the IRAS PSC, and found that there are only 2 sources that can be regarded as promising YSOs. They are IRAS 21005+5217 and 21025+5221. We employed a stellar model developed by Robitaille et al. (2007) to access the age, mass, and luminosity of the IRAS sources. We searched for YSOs also in the WISE PSC which is much more sensitive than the IRAS PSC by using the selection criteria proposed by Koenig et al. (2012). If we disregard one of their criteria to exclude AGNs, there found 83 candidates for YSOs in the observed region, and ~ 20 of them are concentrated in a condensation around IRAS 21025+5221. Assuming that all of the WISE sources are real YSOs, we estimated the star formation efficiency of the entire core to be $\text{SFE} \lesssim 1 \%$ at most.

(3) We find that the core consists of a number of filaments and some core-like structures. In the velocity channel maps of C^{18}O , these filaments appear apparently colliding against each other, and some candidates of YSOs are located near the intersections of the filaments. We identified 12 major filaments and core-like condensations, and estimated their masses to be $10^2 - 10^3 M_{\odot}$. We investigated their gravitational stability to find at least half of the filaments and core-like condensations are likely to be in the Virial equilibrium, and the others might be gravitationally unbound.

(4) Using the adaptive mesh regiment technique (Matsumoto 2007), we performed numerical simulations to reproduce the collisions of the filaments. As the initial conditions of the core, we assumed a high mass, size, and density similar to those observed toward L1004E. Results of the simulations indicate that the filaments are formed in the core, but they never collide against each other during their evolution. After some trials, we finally found that the filaments can collide only when there is a large velocity gradient in the initial core in a sense to compress it, which can be generated by an external energetic effect such as the shock fronts of SNRs. There are actually some SNRs in the vicinity of the Cyg OB 7 molecular cloud including HB21 that has been suggested to be interacting with the cloud. We suggest that L1004E was influenced by such an external effect (possibly by HB21) to have the initial velocity gradient, which results in the formation of the colliding filaments.

This research was financially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Nos. 22340040, 23540270, 23540270, 24244017, 24700866, 26287030, 26350186, 26400233, and 26610045) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), and also by the Mitsubishi Foundation. The 45m radio telescope is operated by NRO, a branch of National Astronomical Observatory of Japan. This research has made use of the NASA/ IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), California Institute of Technology (Caltech), funded

by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). The Two Micron All Sky Survey is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

A. Derivation of the Molecular Column Density and the Mass of the Core

We derived the total mass of the observed core as summarized in the following. The method we used assumes the LTE, and is a standard way to derive the total molecular mass from the C¹⁸O emission line. Details of the method can be found in some literature (e.g., Shimoikura et al. 2012).

In general, observed brightness temperature of a certain molecular line $T_{\text{mb}}(X)$ (e.g., $X = \text{C}^{18}\text{O}$, ^{12}CO , etc.) can be expressed as

$$T_{\text{mb}}(X) = [J(T_{\text{ex}}) - J(T_{\text{bg}})] \left[1 - e^{-\tau(X)} \right] \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $J(T) = \frac{T_0}{\exp(T_0/T) - 1}$, and T_0 is a constant ($T_0 = 5.27$ K for C¹⁸O and 5.53 K for ¹²CO). T_{ex} and T_{bg} are the excitation temperature and the cosmic background (2.7 K), respectively, and $\tau(X)$ is the optical depth. We first estimated T_{ex} at each observed position by solving the above equation for $T_{\text{mb}}(^{12}\text{CO})$ measured at the peak velocity of the C¹⁸O spectra assuming $\tau(^{12}\text{CO}) \gg 1$. We then derived $\tau(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$ and $N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$, and converted $N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$ to $N(\text{H}_2)$ to estimate the total mass M_{core} by summing up the derived $N(\text{H}_2)$ over the observed area. The process of the derivation can be summarized in the following equations;

$$\tau(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}) = -\ln \left[1 - \frac{T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})}{J(T_{\text{ex}}) - J(T_{\text{bg}})} \right] \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}) = \frac{C_0 T_{\text{ex}}}{1 - \exp(-\frac{T_0}{T_{\text{ex}}})} \int \tau(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}) dv \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$N(\text{H}_2) = \left[\frac{N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})}{1.8 \times 10^{14}} + 3.7 \right] \times 10^{21} \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$M_{\text{core}} = \mu m_{\text{H}} S_{\text{pix}} \sum N(\text{H}_2) \quad (\text{A5})$$

where $N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$ and $N(\text{H}_2)$ are in units of cm^{-2} , and the constant C_0 is $2.52 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} (\text{km s}^{-1})^{-1}$ for the C¹⁸O($J = 1 - 0$) emission line. μ , m_{H} , and S_{pix} in the last equation are the mean molecular weight taken to be 2.4, the hydrogen mass, and the area of the pixels of the C¹⁸O map ($10'' \times 10'' \simeq 1.432 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^2$ at the assumed distance 800 pc). Summation in the right side of Equation (A5) is made for the total area observed in C¹⁸O shown in Figure 2(a). Equation (A4) is derived from an empirical relationship between A_V and $N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$ (Frerking et al. 1982) as well as between A_V and $N(\text{H}_2)$ for $R_V = 3.1$ (Bohlin et al. 1978).

Note that $N(\text{H}_2)$ calculated using Equation (A4) and then M_{core} calculated using Equation (A5) may be the minimum estimates for the true values, because C¹⁸O is known to be depleted onto dust grains in very dense cloud interior like L1004E (e.g., Bergin et al. 2002; Tafalla et al. 2002; Lada et al. 2007), while we assume the linear $N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$ – $N(\text{H}_2)$ conversion relation based on the results of Frerking et al. (1982) whose measurements were done in much less dense regions. However, we shall use the simple conversion relation in Equation (A4) in this paper, because it is difficult at the moment to assess precisely how much the depletion of C¹⁸O is occurring in L1004E.

REFERENCES

- Allen, T. S., Pipher, J. L., Gutermuth, R. A., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 675, 491
- André, Ph., Men'shchikov, A., Bontemps, S. et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L102
- Bergin, E. A., Alves, J., Huard, T., & Lada, C. J. 2002, *ApJ*, 570, L101
- Berand, J.-Ph. Dobashi, K., & Momose, M., 1999, *A&A*, 350, 197
- Bohlin, R. C., Savage, B. D., & Drake, J. F. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 132
- Chibueze, J. O., Imura, K., Omodaka, T. et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 762, 17
- Danby, G., Flower, F. R., Valiron, P., Schilke, P., & Walmsley, C. M. 1988, *MNRAS*, 235, 229
- Dobashi, K. 2011, *PASJ*, 63, S1
- Dobashi, K., Bernard, J.-Ph., & Fukui, Y. 1996, *ApJ*, 466, 282
- Dobashi, K., Bernard, J.-Ph., Yonekura, Y., & Fukui, Y. 1994, *ApJS*, 95, 419
- Dobashi, K., Marshall, D. J., Shimoikura, T., & Bernard, J.-Ph. 2013, *PASJ*, 65, 31
- Dobashi, K., Uehara, H., Kandori, R., Sakurai, T., Kaiden, M., Sato, F., & Umemoto, T. 2005, *PASJ*, 57, S1
- Dobashi, K., Yonekura, Y., Matsumoto, T., Momose, M., Sato, F., Bernard, J.-Ph., & Ogawa, H. 2001, *PASJ*, 53, 85
- Dubinski, J., Narayan, R., & Phillips, T. G. 1995, *ApJ*, 448, 226
- Falgarone, E., & Perault, M. 1987, in *Physical Processes in Interstellar Clouds*, ed. G. E. Morfill, & M. Scholer, NATO ASIC Proc. 210, 59
- Federrath, C., Roman-Duval, J., Klessen, R. S., Schmidt, W., & Mac Low, M.-M. 2010, *A&A*, 512, A81
- Frerking, M. A., Langer, W. D., & Wilson, R. W. 1982, *ApJ*, 262, 590
- Fukui, Y., Iwata, T., Mizuno, A. Ogawa, H., & Takaba, H. 1989, *Nature*, 342, 161
- Green, D., A. 1998, *VizieR Online Data* (Cambridge: Mullard Radio Astron. Obs.), VII/211
- Greene, T. P., Wilking, B. A., André, P., Young, E. T., & Lada, C. J. 1994, *ApJ*, 434, 614
- Hacar, A., Tafalla, M., Kauffmann, J., & Kovács, A. 2013, *A&A*, 554, A55
- Hasegawa, T., Sato, F., Whiteoak, J. B., & Miyawaki, R. 1994, *ApJ*, 429, L77
- Herbig, G. H., & Dahm, S. E. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1530
- Higuchi, A. E., Kurono, Y., Saito, M., & Kawabe, R. 2010, *ApJ*, 719, 1813
- Hirota, T., Ohishi, M., & Yamamoto, S. 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 585
- Ho, P. T. P., & Townes, C. H. 1983, *ARA&A*, 21, 239
- Humphreys, R. M. 1978, *ApJS*, 38, 309
- Inutsuka, S.-I., & Miyama, S. M. 1992, *ApJ*, 388, 392
- Kawamura, A., Onishi, T., Yonekura, Y., Dobashi, K., Mizuno, A., Ogawa, H., & Fukui, Y. 1998, *ApJS*, 117, 387
- Kitsionas, S., & Whitworth, A. P. 2007, *MNRAS*, 378, 507
- Koenig, X. P., Leisawitz, D. T., Benford, D. J., Rebull, L. M., Padgett, D. L., & Assef, R. J. 2012, *ApJ*, 744, 130
- Kutner, M. L., & Ulich, B. L. 1981, *ApJ*, 250, 341
- Larson, R. B. 1981, *MNRAS*, 194, 809
- Lada, C. J. 1987, in *IAU Symp. 115, Star Forming Regions*, ed. M. Peimbert & J. Jugaku (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 1
- Lada, C. J. & Lada, E. A. 2003, *ARA&A*, 41, 57
- Lada, C. J., Alves, J. F., & Lombardi, M. 2007, in *Protostars and Planets V*, ed. B. Reipurth, D. Jewitt, & K. Keil (Tucson, AZ: Univ. Arizona Press), 3

- Lovas, F. J. 1992, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 21, 181
- Lynds, B. T., *ApJS*, 7, 1
- Machida, M. N., Matsumoto, T., HanawaT., & Tomisaka, K. 2006, *ApJ*, 645, 1227
- Matsumoto, T. 2007, *PASJ*, 59, 905
- Matsumoto, T. & Hanawa, T. 2011, *ApJ*, 728, 47
- Mavromatakis, Xilouris, F., E. M., & Boumis, P. 2007, *A&A*, 461, 991
- Moriguchim Y., Yamaguchi, N., Onishi, T., Mizuno, A., & Fukui, Y. 2001, *PASJ*, 53, 1025
- Myers, P. C., Fuller, G. A., Mathieu, R. D., Beichman, C. A., Benson, P. J., Schild, R. E., & Emerson, J. P. 1987, *ApJ*, 319, 340
- Nagahama, T., Mizuno, A., Ogawa, H. & Fukui, Y. 1998, *ApJ*, 116, 336
- Nakajima, T., Sakai, T., Asayama, S. et al. 2008, *PASJ*, 60, 435
- Nakamura, F. & Li Z. -Y. 2005, *ApJ*, 631, 411
- Nakamura, F., Sugitani, K., Tanaka, T. et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 791, L23
- Onishi, T., Mizuno, A., Kawamura, A., Ogawa, H., & Fukui, Y. 1998, *ApJ*, 502, 296
- Ostriker, J. 1964, *ApJ*, 140, 1056
- Reipurth, B. , & Scheneider, N. 2008, in *Handbook of Star Forming Regions*, ed. B. Reipurth (ASP Monograph Publication, Vol. 1; San Francisco, CA: ASP)
- Robitaille, T. P. 2008, *APS Conference Series*, 387, 290
- Robitaille, T. P., Whitney, B. A., Indebetouw, R., & Wood, K. 2007, *ApJS*, 169, 328
- Robitaille, T. P., Whitney, B. A., Indebetouw, R., Wood, K. & Denzmore., P., 2006, *ApJS*, 167, 256
- Saito, H. Saito, M., Sunada, K., & Yonekura, Y. 2007, *ApJ*, 659, 459
- Salpeter, E. E. 1955, *ApJ*, 121, 161
- Sato, F., Hasegawa, T., Whiteoak, J. B, & Miyawaki, R. 2000, *ApJ*, 535, 857
- Sawada, T., Ikeda, N., Sunada, K. et al. 2008, *PASJ*, 60, 445
- Shimoikura, T., & Dobashi, K. 2011, *ApJ*, 731, 23
- Shimoikura, T., Dobashi, K., Saito, H. et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 72
- Shimoikura, T., Dobashi, K., Sakurai, T., Takano S., Nishiura, S., & Hirota, T. 2012, *ApJ*, 745, 195
- Stodólkiewicz, J. S. 1963, *Acta Astron.*, 13, 30
- Suzuki, H., Yamamoto, S., Ohishi, M., et al. 1992, *ApJ*, 392, 551
- Tafalla, M., Myers, P. C., Caselli, P., Walmsley, C. M., & Comito, C. 2002, *ApJ*, 569, 815
- Tachihara, K., Onishi, T., Mizuno, A., & Fukui, Y. 2002, *Å*, 385, 909
- Tatematsu, K., Fukui, Y., Landecker, T. L., Roger, R. S. 1990, 237, 189
- Tatematsu, K., Fukui, Y., Nakano, M., Kogure, T., Ogawa, H., & Kawabata, K. 1987, *A&A*, 184, 279
- Torii, K., Enokiya, R., Sano, H. et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 738, 46
- Toujima, H., Nagayama, T., Omodaka, T., Handa, T., KoyamaY., & Kobayashi, H. 2011, *PASJ*, 63, 1259
- Zhou, S., Evans II, N., J., Kömpe, C., & Walmsley, C. M. 1993, *ApJ*, 404, 232

TABLE 1
MAPPING OBSERVATIONS

Molecule	Transition	Rest Frequency ⁽¹⁾ (GHz)	HPBW (arcsec)	Receiver		Spectrometer			ΔT_{mb} (K)	ΔV_{reso} (km s ⁻¹)	Grid (arcsec)
				Name	T_{sys} (K)	Name	Band Width (MHz)	Δf_{reso} (kHz)			
¹² CO	$J = 1 - 0$	115.271204	15	BEARS	340	AC	32	38	1.25	0.22	10
¹³ CO	$J = 1 - 0$	110.201353	16	BEARS	320	AC	32	38	0.94	0.14	10
C ¹⁸ O	$J = 1 - 0$	109.782182	16	BEARS	310	AC	32	38	0.53	0.14	10
HC ₃ N	$J = 5 - 4$	45.490319	40	S40	230	AC	32	38	0.14	0.32	30
CCS	$J_N = 4_3 - 3_2$	45.379033	40	S40	230	AC	32	38	0.15	0.32	30
NH ₃	$(J, K) = (1, 1)$	23.694506	75	H22	140	AC	16	19	0.08	0.34	30

References. — (1) Lovas (1992)

TABLE 2
ONE POINT OBSERVATIONS

Molecule	Transition	Rest Frequency ⁽¹⁾ (GHz)	HPBW (arcsec)	Receiver		Spectrometer				
				Name	T_{sys} (K)	Name	Band Width (MHz)	Δf_{reso} (kHz)	ΔT_{mb} (K)	ΔV_{reso} (km s ⁻¹)
CS	$J = 2 - 1$	97.980968	18	T100H	160	AOS	40	37	0.09	0.11
C ³⁴ S	$J = 2 - 1$	96.412982	18	T100H	160	AOS	40	37	0.25	0.12
HCO ⁺	$J = 1 - 0$	89.188518	19	T100V	430	AOS	40	37	0.04	0.12
H ¹³ CO ⁺	$J = 1 - 0$	86.754330	19	T100H	160	AOS	40	37	0.04	0.13
NH ₃	$(J, K) = (1, 1)$	24.139417	75	H22	140	AOS	40	37	0.03	0.46
NH ₃	$(J, K) = (2, 2)$	23.870129	75	H22	140	AOS	40	37	0.03	0.47
NH ₃	$(J, K) = (3, 3)$	23.722633	75	H22	140	AOS	40	37	0.02	0.47
NH ₃	$(J, K) = (4, 4)$	23.694506	75	H22	140	AOS	40	37	0.02	0.47

References. — (1) Lovas (1992)

TABLE 3
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF L1004E

Quantities	
Mass	$1.1 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$
T_{ex}	8 – 12 K
$\Delta V(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$	1 – 3 km s $^{-1}$
$\tau(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$	2 – 3
Size	$\sim 2 \times 5 \text{ pc}^2$
SFE	$\lesssim 1 \%$

TABLE 4
IRAS POINT SOURCES

IRAS No.	Coordinates		Flux Density				Quality	C. C.	L_{IRAS} ($L_{\odot}$)
	α_{J2000}	δ_{J2000}	F_{12} (Jy)	F_{25} (Jy)	F_{60} (Jy)	F_{100} (Jy)			
21005 + 5217	21 ^h 02 ^m 05.5 ^s	52°28′54″	0.9932(6)	2.580(5)	7.521(9)	< 10.97(...)	3331	AAAB	21.8
21025 + 5221	21 ^h 04 ^m 06.5 ^s	52°33′47″	0.3691(11)	1.907(28)	8.101(10)	39.96(17)	3333	CAAA	35.9

NOTE.—Numbers in the parentheses in the columns for $F_{12} - F_{100}$ are the uncertainties in units of percent. L_{IRAS} is the bolometric luminosity integrated over the four IRAS bands by the method of Myers et al. (1987).

TABLE 5
COUNTERPARTS OF THE IRAS SOURCES

IRAS No.	WISE				2MASS		
	W1 (mag)	W2 (mag)	W3 (mag)	W4 (mag)	J (mag)	H (mag)	K_{S} (mag)
21005 + 5217	9.165 ± 0.021	7.207 ± 0.020	3.601 ± 0.015	1.095 ± 0.010	13.457 ± 0.024	11.701 ± 0.021	10.519 ± 0.015
21025 + 5221	10.160 ± 0.025	7.621 ± 0.020	5.297 ± 0.014	2.039 ± 0.015	> 18.296	> 15.779	13.846 ± 0.074

NOTE.—Counterparts of IRAS 21005+5217 and 21025+5221 are J210205.43+522854.2 and J210407.18+523350.0 in the WISE PSC, and 717809903 and 717897656 in the 2MASS PSC, respectively.

TABLE 6
PARAMETERS OF YSOs

IRAS No.	A_V (mag)	Age (yr)	M_{star} ($M_{\odot}$)	L_{total} ($L_{\odot}$)
21005 + 5217	13.68	1.61×10^6	3.63	2.06×10^2
21025 + 5221	27.06	5.99×10^4	2.44	5.35×10^1

NOTE.—The values are the best fitting parameters for a model developed by Robitaille et al. (2007).

TABLE 7
PROPERTIES OF FILAMENTS AND SUBCORES

No.	V_{range} (km s ⁻¹)	α_{J2000}	δ_{J2000}	$N(\text{H}_2)$ (10 ²² cm ⁻²)	ΔV (km s ⁻¹)	M_{LTE} ($M_{\odot}$)	$\Delta \bar{V}$ (km s ⁻¹)	S (pc ²)	R (pc)	M_{vir} ($M_{\odot}$)	Ellipticity α	M_{cr} ($M_{\odot}$ pc ⁻¹)	M_{LTE}/L ($M_{\odot}$ pc ⁻¹)
1	(−3.5, −0.3)	21 ^h 04 ^m 03.2 ^s	52°34′06″	3.1	1.58	170	1.70	0.34	0.33	199	1.00	1158	259
2	(−4.0, −2.0)	21 ^h 03 ^m 57.7 ^s	52°28′36″	2.1	0.44	230	1.52	0.52	0.41	196	0.91	90	277
3	(−2.2, −0.9)	21 ^h 03 ^m 55.5 ^s	52°36′46″	1.9	0.63	177	1.08	0.45	0.38	91	0.17	186	96
4	(−2.2, −0.9)	21 ^h 03 ^m 38.0 ^s	52°36′16″	2.1	0.77	326	1.04	0.64	0.45	102	0.37	277	221
5	(−2.2, −0.9)	21 ^h 03 ^m 34.7 ^s	52°32′16″	2.1	1.20	420	1.39	0.76	0.49	200	0.20	668	192
6	(−4.4, −2.5)	21 ^h 03 ^m 16.1 ^s	52°31′36″	1.9	0.73	590	1.88	1.30	0.64	478	0.42	249	295
7	(−3.0, −0.3)	21 ^h 02 ^m 22.5 ^s	52°28′24″	4.5	1.78	793	1.78	1.04	0.57	380	0.50	1471	488
8	(−4.6, −1.0)	21 ^h 02 ^m 20.7 ^s	52°18′44″	3.0	1.48	212	1.89	0.22	0.27	197	0.63	1021	314
9	(−3.6, 0.0)	21 ^h 02 ^m 00.0 ^s	52°19′42″	3.0	2.54	165	2.94	0.32	0.32	579	0.77	2994	223
10	(−1.7, 0.0)	21 ^h 01 ^m 42.4 ^s	52°22′31″	1.9	0.42	107	1.26	0.35	0.33	110	0.43	82	106
11	(−3.6, −1.7)	21 ^h 01 ^m 34.7 ^s	52°22′30″	2.1	1.09	415	2.42	0.95	0.55	670	0.48	551	261
12	(−3.6, −1.8)	21 ^h 01 ^m 25.7 ^s	52°27′50″	2.1	1.45	491	1.51	0.81	0.51	240	0.28	983	255

NOTE.—Rather round filaments (Nos. 1, 2, 8, and 9) with a high ellipticity of $\alpha > 0.5$ are called “subcores” in Section 3.4. Values in the table are measured based on the C¹⁸O data (see Section 3.4.1). Some filaments and subcores (Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 11) are partially contaminated by another filament at different velocities, and we derived ΔV (the FWHM line width at the peak positions) and $\Delta \bar{V}$ (the line width averaged over the surface S) by applying Gaussian fitting with 2 components.




Fig. 1.— (a) Distribution of the $^{13}\text{CO}(J = 1 - 0)$ integrated intensity of the Cyg OB 7 molecular cloud. Contours start from 2 K km s^{-1} with a 2 K km s^{-1} step. The data were obtained by the 4m telescope (HPBW= $2'.7$) at the Nagoya university (Dobashi et al. 2014, in preparation). (b) Extinction map of A_{K_s} generated using the 2MASS PSC (Dobashi 2011; Dobashi et al. 2013). The lowest contour and the contour interval are $A_{K_s} = 0.9 \text{ mag}$ and 0.6 mag , respectively. The box labeled L1004E indicates the region observed along the equatorial coordinates (J2000) with the 45m telescope. Directions of the north and east on the sky are indicated by the arrows.




Fig. 2.— Integrated intensity distributions of the (a) $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}(J=1-0)$, (b) $^{13}\text{CO}(J=1-0)$, (c) $\text{HC}_3\text{N}(J=5-4)$, (d) $\text{CCS}(J_N=4_3-3_2)$, and (e) $\text{NH}_3(J,K=1,1)$ emission lines observed with the 45m telescope. Thin solid lines denote the observed areas. The ^{13}CO map is integrated over the velocity range $-15 < V_{\text{LSR}} < 6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and the other maps are integrated over the range $-4 < V_{\text{LSR}} < 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The maps are shown as an offset from IRAS 21025+5221 (Table 4).




Fig. 3.— The WISE 12 μm image of L1004E. Positions of the two IRAS sources are indicated (see Section 3.2). The map is shown as an offset from IRAS 21025+5221.




Fig. 4.— Frequency distributions of the C^{18}O column densities of L1004E (thick solid line), HCL 2 (thin solid line), and LDN 1551 (broken line) observed with the 45m telescope. The maximum number of pixels in the vertical axis is normalized to unity for each region.




Fig. 5.— Channel maps of the $^{13}\text{CO}(J=1-0)$ emission line. Numbers in the parentheses at the top-right corner of each panel indicate the velocity ranges used for the integration. Contours start from 2 K km s^{-1} with a step of 3 K km s^{-1} .




Fig. 6.— Channel maps of the $^{13}\text{CO}(J = 1 - 0)$ emission line with a higher velocity resolution (0.5 km s^{-1}). Numbers in the parentheses at the top-right corner of each panel indicate the velocity ranges used for the integration. Contours start from 1 K km s^{-1} with a step of 1 K km s^{-1} .




Fig. 7.— Channel maps of the $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}(J = 1 - 0)$ emission line for the same velocity ranges as in Figure 6. In each panel, the lowest contours and contour intervals are 0.5 K km s^{-1} .




Fig. 8.— Distributions of the WISE point sources shown on the WISE 12 μm image. Class I and Class II sources selected following the criteria of Koenig et al. (2012) are shown by the filled and open circles, respectively. Small plus signs indicate the sources which would be regarded as YSOs (Class I or II) without one of their criteria to exclude ANGs (see Section 3.2). Point sources which are likely to be false detections in the original WISE PSC without apparent counterparts in none of the four band WISE images (i.e., 3.4, 4.6, 12, and 22 μm) are excluded.




Fig. 9.— Spectral energy distributions of IRAS 21005+5217 (the top panel) and 21025+5221 (the bottom panel). The filled circles show the observed fluxes summarized in Tables 4 and 5. The open circles denote the upper limits. The solid line is the model of Robitaille et al. (2007) best fitting the observed fluxes, which was calculated using their tools available on the web (<http://caravan.astro.wisc.edu>). The dashed line shows the stellar photosphere of the central star best fitting the observed data, as we would observe in the absence of circumstellar dust but including interstellar extinction.




Fig. 10.— Images of the condensation around IRAS 21025+5221. Panel (a) shows the WISE 12 μm image, and panel (b) shows the distributions of WISE point sources in the same way as in Figure 8. Other panels show the integrated intensity distributions of the (c) $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}(J = 1 - 0)$, (d) $\text{HC}_3\text{N}(J = 5 - 4)$, (e) $\text{CCS}(J_N = 4_3 - 3_2)$, and (f) $\text{NH}_3(J, K = 1, 1)$ emission lines. Contours are the same as in Figure 2. Position of IRAS 21025+5221 is indicated by a larger filled circle in panels (c)–(f).




Fig. 11.— Various molecular lines observed toward IRAS 21025+5221. The broken line denotes $V_{\text{LSR}} = -2$ km s^{-1} .




Fig. 12.— Fractional abundance of HC_3N versus that of CCS observed toward L1004E (square) compared with those in other dense cores in literature such as TMC-1(CP) and TMC-2A corrected by Shimoikura et al. (2012) who studied starless cores in Polaris. Open and filled circles denote cores with and without YSOs, and those accompanied by molecular outflows are indicated by plus signs. Arrows denote the upper limits. Results of model calculations performed by Suzuki et al. (1992) for densities of $n(\text{H}_2)=10^4$ and 10^5 cm^{-3} are shown for comparison by open circles and hexagons. Numbers in the symbols denote the reaction time in units of 10^5 yr .




Fig. 13.— Locations of the 12 filaments and subcores in Table 7 shown in the 3 dimensional isotherm map of $T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}) = 2 \text{ K}$.




Fig. 14.— (a) Virial mass vs. LTE mass of the filaments, and (b) critical mass vs. LTE mass per unit length of the filaments. Broken lines denote the equality. Open circles represent rather round filaments with a higher ellipticity of $\alpha > 0.5$ ($\alpha = 1$ is for a complete sphere) which we call “subcores” in Section 3.4, and filled circles denote the other elongated filaments with $\alpha \leq 0.5$.




Fig. 15.— Panels (a) and (b) display two channel maps in Figure 7 showing apparent anti-correlations. Numbers 2–7 in circles denote the identified filaments and subcores in Table 7. Some contours of the filaments No. 4, 5, and 7 in panel (b) are indicated by thick gray lines in panel (a) for comparison. Plus signs labeled A–F in squares in panel (a) denote the positions where position-velocity (PV) diagrams displayed in panels (c)–(e) are measured. The lowest contours and contour intervals of the PV diagrams are $T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}) = 0.4$ K for the diagrams in panels (c) and (d), and 0.6 K for the diagram in panel (e).




Fig. 16.— Distributions of YSOs and the $C^{18}O$ emission around IRAS 21025+5221. Panel (a) shows the WISE 12 μm image, and panels (b) and (c) show the $C^{18}O$ intensity integrated over the velocity ranges indicated above each panel. YSOs are shown in the same way as in Figure 8. Numbers in circles denote the identified filaments and subcores. White broken lines in panel (a) delineate the outlines of some filaments and subcores that can be identified in the WISE image. Plus signs labeled A–D in squares denote the positions where PV diagrams displayed in panels (d) and (e) are measured. The lowest contours and contour intervals of the PV diagrams are $T_{mb}(C^{18}O) = 0.4$ K.




Fig. 17.— Same as Figure 16, but for IRAS 21005+5217.




Fig. 18.— Snapshots of the simulations with and without the initial velocity gradient. The upper six panels (a)–(f) show the time evolution of the total column density for the case of no velocity gradient. Panels (a)–(c) show the column density observed along the X axis, and panels (d)–(f) show the column density observed along the Y axis. Numbers above the panels represent passage of time in the simulation. The gas density is set to be uniform in the beginning ($t = 0$ yr). Solid lines indicate the proper motions of the formed stars. Panels (a) and (d) are the snapshots at an early stage of the core evolution, panels (b) and (e) are those just after the formation of the first stars, and panels (c) and (f) are those close to the end of the simulation. The lower six panels (g)–(l) are for the case with the initial velocity gradient imposed along the X axis ($dV/dX \simeq 2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-1}$), and they are displayed in the same way as panels (a)–(f).




Fig. 19.— (a) An example of the column density distributions observed in the simulation shown in Figure 18(h). Blue and red colors express the column densities contained in the velocity ranges $-1.5 < V < -0.5$ km s⁻¹ and $0.5 < V < 1.5$ km s⁻¹, respectively. Star symbol indicates the first star observed in the simulation. The original spatial resolution of the simulation is ~ 0.005 pc, which is smoothed to ~ 0.02 pc in the image. (b) Close-up view of the image in panel (a). The first star was formed in the blue filament ~ 0.1 Myr after the red filament (indicated by the ellipse with broken line) passed through the blue filament. The arrow denotes the movement of the red filament on the YZ plane. (c) Position-Velocity diagram measured along the line A-B in panel (b). The lowest contours and contour intervals are $2 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2} (\text{km s}^{-1})^{-1}$. Unit of the vertical axis is converted to arcmin for the distance of L1004E (800 pc). The data are smoothed to the 0.2 km s^{-1} and 0.1 pc ($\simeq 25''$) resolutions similar to those of the C¹⁸O data. (d) Column density distributions contained in the velocity range $-1.5 < V < -0.5$ km s⁻¹ same as the blue component in panel (a), but the image is smoothed to the 0.1 pc resolution. The lowest contours and contour intervals are $0.3 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. (e) Same as panel (d), but for the red component in the velocity range $0.5 < V < 1.5$ km s⁻¹ in panel (a). Boxes in panels (d) and (e) denote the regions where anti-correlations are seen.




Fig. 20.— Schematic illustration of evolution of L1004E inferred from simulations. (a) Initial stage of the core with uniform density, which is the starting point of the simulations ($t = 0$ Myr). The total mass, size, turbulence are taken from the observations. We performed 2 types of simulations: In one case, we assumed no velocity gradient in the core, but in the other case, we assumed a velocity gradient ($dV/dX \simeq 2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-1}$) in a sense to compress the core in one direction. (b) The stage when a number of filaments are formed by the self-gravity, but no star has been formed yet ($t \simeq 0.2$ Myr). A layer with high density is formed when there is the initial velocity gradient, and the filaments are more or less aligned along the layer (lower panel). (c) If there is no initial velocity gradient, stars are formed in the filaments spontaneously ($t \simeq 0.6$ Myr). (d) If there is the initial velocity gradient, some filaments collide against each other before or after the star formation ($t \simeq 0.4$ Myr), and more stars are formed than in the case without the initial velocity gradient.